

1
2
3
4

TITLE PAGE

- Food and Life-

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Article
Article Title (English)	Effect of incorporating roasted sesame (<i>Sesamum indicum</i>) seeds on the quality parameters of chicken nuggets
Article Title (Korean) English papers can be omitted	
Running Title (English, within 10 words)	Roasted sesame seed effect on the quality of chicken nuggets
Author (English)	Shan Randima Nawarathne ^{1,3} , Dinesh Darshaka Jayasena ^{1*} , Prabhathma Yasasvi Rathnayake ¹ , Manjula Senavirathna ² , Damith Udayanga ² , and Jung Min Heo ³
Affiliation (English)	¹ Department of Animal Science, Uva Wellassa University, Badulla, 90000, Sri Lanka. ² Nelna Farm (Pvt) Ltd, Meethirigala 11742, Sri Lanka. ³ Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea.
Author (Korean) English papers can be omitted	
Affiliation (Korean) English papers can be omitted	
Special remarks – if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office	
ORCID and Position (All authors must have ORCID) (English) https://orcid.org	Shan Randima Nawarathne (Graduate Student, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9055-9155) Dinesh Darshaka Jayasena (Professor, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2251-4200) Prabhathma Yasasvi Rathnayake (Undergraduate, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0667-0243) Manjula Senavirathna (Production Manager, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7039-2845) Damith Udayanga (Quality Assurance Manager, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3627-7821) Jung Min Heo (Associate Professor, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3693-1320)
Conflicts of interest (English) List any present or potential conflicts of interest for all authors.	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

(This field may be published.)	
Acknowledgements (English) State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. (This field may be published.)	The authors are grateful to Nelna Farm (Pvt) Ltd., Sri Lanka for providing facilities to conduct this study. The authors would like to acknowledge, Ms. Sarangi Karunaratna and Mr. Thilina Jayasinghe from the Meat Science and Research Laboratory, Uva Wellassa University, Sri Lanka.
Author contributions (This field may be published.)	Conceptualization: Nawarathne SR, Jayasena DD. Data curation: Nawarathne SR, Rathnayake PY. Formal analysis: Nawarathne SR, Rathnayake PY. Methodology: Nawarathne SR, Jayasena DD, Senavirathna M, Udayanga D. Software: Nawarathne SR, Rathnayake PY. Validation: Jayasena DD, Senavirathna M, Udayanga D, Heo JM. Investigation: Nawarathne SR. Writing - original draft: Nawarathne SR. Writing - review & editing: Nawarathne SR, Jayasena DD, Heo JM.
Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC) (English) (This field may be published.)	This manuscript does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

5

6 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in the information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Dinesh Darshaka Jayasena
Email address – This is where your proofs will be sent	dinesh@uwu.ac.lk
Secondary Email address	dineshjayasena@yahoo.co.uk
Postal address	Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Animal Science and Export Agriculture, Uva Wellassa University, Badulla 90000, Sri Lanka.
Cell phone number	+94-71-444-0408
Office phone number	+94-55-222-6580
Fax number	+94-55-222-6672/+94-55-222-6472

7

8

9 Abstract

10 The present study was aimed to develop a value-added chicken nugget by incorporating
11 roasted sesame seeds (RSS) and elucidate its sensory and quality parameter changes during a
12 28-d frozen storage. Chicken nugget samples were processed to have four treatments as; 1)
13 Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w) [Control], 2) Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w) [SN5], 3) Nuggets
14 with 10% RSS (w/w) [SN10] and 4) Nuggets with 15% RSS (w/w) [SN15] with six
15 replications per treatment. The two best nugget samples with roasted sesame seeds were
16 selected by a sensory panel and tested for physicochemical and microbial quality changes
17 with the control sample during a 28-d frozen storage. Results revealed that SN10 had the
18 highest ($p<0.05$) crude fat (8.84%), crude protein (14.24%) and ash (3.15%) contents
19 compared to SN5 and the control. During the 28-d frozen storage, the pH of nuggets
20 diminished gradually ($p<0.05$) in all treatments. SN10 had the highest ($p<0.05$) thiobarbituric
21 acid reactive substance value compared with its counterparts throughout the 28-d frozen
22 storage. The thiobarbituric acid reactive substance values and total plate count values
23 increased ($p<0.05$) in all treatments during the frozen storage, but within the acceptable limits.
24 The water holding capacity of nuggets in all treatments decreased ($p<0.05$) and cooking loss
25 increased ($p<0.05$) during the frozen storage. In conclusion, roasted sesame seeds could be
26 mixed up to 10% to the nugget mixture to prepare nuggets with improved proximate
27 composition, and physicochemical and sensory properties.

28

29 **Keywords:** Chicken nuggets, proximate composition, thiobarbituric acid reactive substance,
30 roasted sesame, sensory quality

31 **Introduction**

32 Processed foods are becoming popular, especially among urbanized societies, owing
33 to their convenience in preparation, handling, and storage. In addition, consumers insist that
34 such products possess high quality, freshness, nutritional value, and health benefits
35 (Venugopal, 2005). Among processed foods, ready-to-eat or ready-to-cook meat products
36 have become more desirable and can be consumed as a part of the main diet or as a snack
37 (Luckman and Nurul, 2009). Nugget is a ready-to-eat, convenient breaded product made of
38 ground meat (Berry and Binger, 1996; Deogade et al., 2008).

39 Sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) is a seed crop commonly used for confectionery and
40 bakery products, milled to obtain high-grade edible “sesame oil,” and contains a higher
41 amount of nutritional and medicinal value (Bedigian, 2004; Borchani et al., 2010). In
42 particular, roasted sesame seeds (RSS) are well known to have beneficial health effects,
43 including anti-oxidative, anti-carcinogenic, hepatoprotective, hypocholesterolemic, and anti-
44 hypertensive properties due to the presence of lignin isolates such as sesamin and sesamolin
45 (Elleuch et al., 2007; Yakota et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008). Sesamolin is converted to sesamol
46 during roasting, which consists of phenolic and benzodioxide groups with antioxidant and
47 anticancer activities (Namiki, 1995; Hou et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2009).
48 Moreover, sesame seeds add a pleasant aroma and flavor to foods in addition to nutritional
49 and physiological benefits (Doblon-Merilles and Quimbo, 2019). Hence, many studies have
50 attempted to improve the sensory and nutritional properties of meat-based products by
51 incorporating sesame seeds or sesame products (Gadekar et al., 2006; Kang et al., 2017;
52 Doblon-Merilles and Quimbo, 2019). Therefore, incorporation of RSS into meat-based foods
53 such as nuggets may add value to the product in terms of taste and nutritional and medicinal
54 values.

55 Previous studies have been conducted to evaluate the quality characteristics of nuggets
56 by incorporating different additives such as pomegranate seed powder, grape seed extract with
57 tomato powder, and grounded mustard (Kumar and Tanwar, 2011; Kaur et al., 2015).
58 Nevertheless, few studies are available which focus on the addition of RSS to chicken nuggets
59 or the additives effects on quality characteristics. Therefore, this study was designed to
60 develop a value-added chicken nugget that incorporates RSS and to investigate its sensory
61 and quality parameter changes during a 28-d frozen storage.

62

63 **Materials and Methods**

64

65 **Treatments**

66 The RSS incorporated chicken nuggets were processed separately to obtain four
67 treatments as; 1) Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w) [Control], 2) Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w)
68 [SN5], 3) Nuggets with 10% RSS (w/w) [SN10] and 4) Nuggets with 15% RSS (w/w) [SN15]
69 with six replications per treatment.

70

71 **Preparation of RSS incorporated chicken nuggets**

72 Chicken nuggets were prepared following the commercial guidelines. Initially, chicken
73 meat and skin were ground through an 8 mm grinding plate using a meat mincer (S32,
74 Xianghou, Zhejiang, China) and the resultant minced meat mixture was finely chopped for 3
75 min. Then, the chopped meat mixture was mixed with other ingredients until a uniform blend
76 occurs. The composition of meat emulsion (w/w) was 72% chicken meat, 12% chicken skin,
77 4% pepper, 3.5% garlic, 3.5% onion, 3% chilli flakes, and 2% salt.

78 The RSS were then separately top-dressed onto the nugget mixture to have the
79 aforementioned treatments. Nugget mixture was moulded using a stainless steel moulder.

80 Moulded nuggets were chilled (0-4°C) for 4 hours, dipped in a batter made up of whole eggs
81 (85%), water (10%), wheat flour (4%), and turmeric powder (1%) and subsequently covered
82 with bread crumbs. After that, the nuggets were flash-fried (160°C) in vegetable oil for 30 s,
83 separately vacuum packed and stored under frozen condition (-18°C) until further analysis.

84

85 **Sensory evaluation**

86 A sensory evaluation was conducted using 30 untrained panellists aged between 21-27
87 representing each sex. A seven-point hedonic scale (1 = Dislike very much, 4 = Neither like
88 nor a dislike, 7 = Like very much) was used for the sensory evaluation and sensory attributes
89 were appearances, colour, aroma, crispiness, juiciness, texture, flavour and overall
90 acceptability. Nugget samples (4×4×1.5 cm) were deep fried (160°C for 5 min) until the core
91 temperature reached 72°C, and served in random order to panellists on coded (with random 3-
92 digit numbers) white dishes with drinking water. After sensory evaluation, the two best RSS
93 incorporated chicken nuggets were selected for further analysis over a 28-d frozen storage
94 along with the control.

95

96 **pH value**

97 The pH values were determined according to the methodology reported by Jung et al.
98 (2011) using a calibrated pH meter (pH 700, Eutech instrument, Singapore) at room
99 temperature. The mean value of three repeated measurements from each sample was used.

100

101 **Lipid oxidation**

102 Lipid oxidation was measured weekly as the thiobarbituric acid reactive substance
103 (TBARS) value of each sample as described previously by Zeb and Ullah (2016) with slight

104 modifications. Briefly, one gram of each nugget sample was added to 5 mL of glacial acetic
105 acid (100%; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with 0.01% butylated hydroxytoluene (to prevent further
106 oxidation; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and vigorously shaken for 1 h. The resultant hydrolysate was
107 filtered (No. 4, Whatman International Ltd., Maidstone, England) and then centrifuged at
108 2,100 rpm for 15 min. The filtrate (1 mL) was mixed with 1 mL of 4 mM 2-thiobarbituric acid
109 (TBA) solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), and the mixture was kept in a boiling water bath
110 (LWB-IIID, Daihan Labtech Co. Ltd., Korea) at 95°C for 1 h. After cooled down to room
111 temperature, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 532 nm wavelength by the
112 spectrophotometer (DU 530, Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, USA). The amount of
113 malondialdehyde (MDA) was calculated in the nugget samples using a standard curve
114 prepared from 1 mL of 4 mM TBA solution, and 1 mL of 1 mM MDA stock solution made
115 out from malondialdehyde tetrabutylammonium salt (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). TBARS value
116 was reported as milligram of MDA per kilogram of nuggets.

117

118 **Water holding capacity (WHC)**

119 The WHC was determined by following the method stated by Hamm (1961) and
120 Wilhelm et al. (2010) with slight modification. Initially, the nugget samples were made into
121 2.0 ± 0.10 g cubes and placed between two pieces of filter paper (No. 4; Whatman International
122 Ltd, Maidstone, England). Then, a standard weight of 10 kg was placed on top of the filter
123 paper for 5 min separately for each sample and the final weights were recorded. Finally, WHC
124 was calculated using the following equation, where W_i and W_f are the initial and final weights
125 of the sample, respectively.

126 Water holding capacity = $100 - [(W_i - W_f) \times 100 / W_i]$

127 **Cooking loss**

128 For the determination of cooking loss, vacuum-packed nugget samples (25 g) were
129 cooked in a water bath (LWB-IIID, Daihan Labtech Co. Ltd., Korea) at 80°C for 20 min and
130 allowed to cool down to room temperature. The cooking loss was calculated as the weight
131 loss of the sample during cooking as a percentage of the initial weight (Campo, 1999; Onenc
132 et al., 2004).

133

134 **Color values**

135 The surface color values of minced nugget samples without outer crust was
136 determined by a calibrated colorimeter (CR-410, Konica Minolta, NIC., Japan). The values of
137 lightness (CIE L*), redness (CIE a*) and yellowness (CIE b*) were obtained using the
138 average value of three repeated measurements taken from different locations on minced
139 nugget samples.

140

141 **Proximate composition**

142 The proximate composition of nugget samples was determined separately by the
143 methods of AOAC (2016). Briefly, the oven-drying method (102°C for 15 h) and Kjeldahl
144 method (KDN-103 F, Hua Ye, China) was followed to calculate the moisture content and
145 crude protein content of nugget samples respectively. The Soxhlet extraction system (TT
146 12/A, Gerhardt Ltd., Germany) was occupied to measure the crude fat content of the nugget
147 samples and crude ash content was determined by igniting the nugget samples (2 g) in a
148 furnace set at 550°C for 4 h.

149 **Microbiological analysis of the product**

150 Total Plate Count (TPC), *Escherichia coli* count and *Salmonella* count of nugget
151 samples were measured according to the methodology of AOAC (2016).

152

153 **Statistical analyses**

154 The complete experiment was conducted according to a completely randomized
155 design and experimental data except those from the sensory evaluation were analyzed using
156 one-way ANOVA technique, General Linear Model (GLM) in the SPSS software package
157 (Version 26; IBM SPSS 2019). Significant differences between mean values were determined
158 by using Tukey's multiple range test at a significance level of $p < 0.05$. Data from the sensory
159 evaluation were subjected to Freidman non-parametric analysis in the Minitab 17 statistical
160 software package (Minitab Inc, USA, 2014) with 95% significance.

161

162 **Results**

163

164 **Sensory evaluation**

165 Sensory attributes of the chicken nuggets incorporated with RSS is shown in Table 1.
166 The incorporation of RSS did not affect ($p > 0.05$) the appearance, colour, and aroma of
167 chicken nuggets, but on other sensory attributes ($p < 0.05$). SN10 had higher ($p < 0.05$) scores
168 for juiciness, flavour and overall acceptability, whereas SN15 showed the lowest values
169 ($p < 0.05$) among the treatments. Moreover, the crispiness of SN15 was significantly higher
170 ($p < 0.05$) than other treatments and control nuggets had the highest score for texture.
171 Accordingly, SN15 treatment was excluded due to the poor customer preference ($p < 0.05$) and

172 SN5 and SN10 were selected for subsequent analysis for keeping quality and proximate
173 composition with the control over a 28-d frozen storage period.

174

175 **pH value**

176 During the frozen storage of 28 days, the pH of nuggets diminished gradually in all
177 treatments (Table 2; $p < 0.05$). The initial pH value was higher ($p < 0.05$) in the control sample
178 compared to RSS incorporated nuggets. However, it was comparable ($p > 0.05$) among the
179 treatments over the frozen storage period.

180

181 **Lipid oxidation**

182 The TBARS values of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of RSS are
183 presented in Table 3. SN10 had the highest ($p < 0.05$) TBARS value compared with its
184 counterparts throughout the 28-d frozen storage period. Besides, the TBARS value of each
185 treatment increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) with an increasing frozen storage period.

186

187 **Water holding capacity and cooking loss**

188 The WHC of chicken nuggets were affected by the incorporation of different levels of
189 RSS (Table 4; $p < 0.05$). During the 28 days of frozen storage, the WHC of chicken nuggets
190 were decreased ($p < 0.05$) irrespective of the level of RSS incorporated and a higher overall
191 reduction was shown by the control compared to SN5 and SN10. Table 5 indicates that the
192 cooking loss values increased ($p < 0.05$) during the 28-d frozen storage irrespective of the level
193 of RSS incorporated. Significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) WHC values were shown by SN10
194 compared to SN 5 every week.

195

196 **Color values**

197 The influence of incorporation of RSS on color values of chicken nuggets is shown in
198 Table 6. CIE a*, CIE b* and CIE L* values of all three nugget types fluctuated from d 1 to d
199 28 of the frozen storage. The level of RSS did not affect ($p>0.05$) CIE a* and CIE b* values
200 until 2 wks and 3 wks, respectively.

201

202 **Microbiological stability**

203 The changes observed in the TPC of chicken nuggets prepared with or without
204 incorporation of selected levels of RSS during 28 d of frozen storage period under frozen
205 condition (-18°C) are presented in Table 7. TPC values increased ($p<0.05$) over the frozen
206 storage period irrespective of the level of RSS incorporated. However, no significant effect
207 ($p>0.05$) was found among the treatments at individual test dates. *Salmonella* and *E. coli*
208 were not detected in any chicken nugget during the frozen storage period.

209

210 **Proximate composition**

211 The proximate composition of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of RSS
212 are presented in Table 8. SN10 had higher ($p<0.05$) ash, crude fat and crude protein contents
213 compared to control and SN5. Nonetheless, nuggets free from RSS had obtained a greater
214 ($p<0.05$) moisture content than did SN5 and SN10.

215

216 **Discussion**

217 Enhancement of some sensory properties, especially flavor, was achieved by the
218 incorporation of RSS at moderate levels into the nuggets. Borchani et al. (2010) reported that
219 sesame seeds release sesame oil during frying and contain a high amount of unsaturated fats.

220 Tangkham and LeMieux (2017) stated that higher levels of unsaturated fats affect the flavor
221 of a product. The significantly higher crispiness and juiciness of RSS-incorporated nuggets
222 may be due to the lower moisture and higher fat contents reported in the RSS-incorporated
223 nuggets, respectively (see Table 8).

224 The present study revealed that the incorporation of different levels of RSS had a
225 significant effect on the pH of chicken nuggets. This could be attributed to the fact that the
226 release of free amino groups from the sesame oils (free SH groups) may increase the pH of
227 RSS-incorporated nuggets at high temperatures compared with sesame-free nuggets (Lawrie,
228 1998). Similarly, Korkeala et al. (1990) and Teruel et al. (2015) reported a gradual decrease
229 in pH in chicken nuggets and ring sausages due to the growth of microorganisms and acid
230 formation, respectively. However, the pH decrement observed in the current study was within
231 the permitted range (4.8 to 6.8) for meat products (Lengkey and Lobo, 2016).

232 The increase in TBARS values of RSS-incorporated nuggets, compared to the control,
233 can be attributed to the presence of a high amount of unstable and loosely bound
234 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the sesame oil that initiate and facilitate lipid oxidation
235 (Borchani et al., 2010). However, all TBARS values reported in the study during the 28-d
236 frozen storage were within the permitted levels in which no rancidity in meat and meat
237 products would be observed, i.e., MDA 2.0-2.5 mg/kg (Dominguez et al., 2019).

238 The results of the current study concerning WHC can be explained by the findings of
239 Conrades et al. (2000), who showed that the physical structure and pH value of a meat
240 product directly affects the WHC and cooking loss. With the frozen storage, the structure
241 becomes denatured and the water-binding ability of the product is reduced, resulting in a
242 lower WHC and increased cooking loss. Ice crystal formation at -18°C may damage the
243 tissue structure and result in drip loss during thawing (Totosaus, 2012; Teruel et al., 2015).

244 However, the results of the present study are not in agreement with those of Confrades et al.
245 (2000), who explained that the WHC of nuggets could be enhanced by the incorporation of
246 RSS because of the higher water and fat binding properties of dietary fibers in sesame seeds.

247 The loss of redness in nugget samples over the frozen storage period can be attributed
248 to the formation of metmyoglobin in meat products (Teruel et al., 2015; Suckow et al., 2016).
249 The higher fiber content in plant ingredients can enhance the CIE L* of meat products
250 (Bhosale et al., 2011). However, this was not observed in the present study with the
251 incorporation of RSS into chicken nuggets. In addition, previous studies by Yasarlar et al.
252 (2007) and Yadav et al. (2016) reported similar uneven changes in the CIE L*, CIE a*, and
253 CIE b* of meat products after the incorporation of different cereals.

254 The total plate count of nuggets was well below the permitted levels (i.e., 30-300
255 colonies), which can be attributed to good manufacturing and handling practices applied in
256 commercial manufacturing facilities. The cleanliness of the raw materials and hygienic
257 practices used during the preparation and packaging of chicken nuggets led to zero
258 *Salmonella* and *E. coli* counts. The results of the present study were comparable to the
259 findings of Nag et al. (1998), who reported an increase in the TPC of chicken nuggets
260 supplemented with rice flour during the frozen storage period. In addition, deep-frying of
261 nuggets at higher temperatures could eliminate the coliform count (Bhat et al., 2010).

262 Serdaroglu and Rmencioglu (2004) observed a significant reduction in moisture
263 content with increased fat levels in beef sausages. This was in line with the results of the
264 current study, in which SN5 and SN10 had high-fat content had lower moisture levels. Fat
265 and moisture compete for space in the protein matrices in the product, and a higher portion of
266 the protein binds with fat, while a small amount of protein binds with water (Xiong, 1997;
267 Warriss, 2001). This could have resulted in lower moisture content in the higher-fat-

268 containing products. The increase in fat, protein and ash contents of SN5 and SN10 compared
269 to the control nuggets can be attributed to the fact that sesame seeds are rich in oils (43.3-
270 44.3%), protein (18.3-25.4%), and minerals (5.2-6.2%) (Anilakumar et al., 2010; Borchani et
271 al., 2010).

272

273 **Conclusion**

274 The sensory properties of nuggets can be improved by the incorporation of up to 10%
275 of RSS in the nugget mixture. The incorporation of RSS enhanced the ash, crude fat, and
276 crude protein content of chicken nuggets. In addition, RSS increased the TBARS values of
277 chicken nuggets, although they were within acceptable levels.

278

279 **Conflicts of Interest**

280 The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

281

282 **Acknowledgements**

283 The authors are grateful to Nelna Farm (Pvt) Ltd., Sri Lanka for providing
284 facilities to conduct this study. The authors would like to acknowledge, Ms. Sarangi
285 Karunarathna and Mr. Thilina Jayasinghe from the Meat Science and Research
286 Laboratory, Uva Wellassa University, Sri Lanka.

287

288 **Ethics approval**

289 This manuscript does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no
290 human and animal participants.

291

292

293 **References**

- 294 Anilakumar KR, Pal A, Khanum F, Bawa AS. 2010. Nutritional, medicinal and industrial
295 uses of sesame (*Sesamum indicum L.*) seeds - an overview. *Agric Conspec Sci*
296 75:159-168.
- 297 AOAC International. 2016. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 20th ed.
298 AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- 299 Bedigian D. 2004. History and lore of sesame in Southwest Asia. *Econ Bot* 58:329-353.
- 300 Berry BW, Bigner ME. 1996. Use of carrageenan and konjac flour gel in low-fat restructured
301 pork nuggets. *Int Food Res J* 29:355-362.
- 302 Bhat ZF, Pathak V, Bukhari SA, Ahmad SR, Bhat H. 2010. Quality changes in Chevron
303 Harrisa (meat based product) during Refrigerated Storage. *Int J Meat Sci* 1:52-61.
- 304 Borchani C, Besbes S, Blecker CH, Attia H. 2010. Chemical characteristics and oxidative
305 stability of sesame seed, sesame paste, and olive oils. *J Agr Sci and Tech* 12:585-596.
- 306 Bhosale SS, Biswas AK, Sahoo J, Chatli MK, Sharma DK, Sikka SS. 2011. Quality
307 evaluation of functional chicken nuggets incorporated with ground carrot and
308 mashed sweet potato. *Food Sci Technol Int* 17:233-239.
- 309 Deogade AH, Zanjad PN, Raziuddin M. 2008. Value added meat products. *Vet World* 1:88.
- 310 Doblón–Merilles RP, Quimbo MS. 2019. Sensory evaluation of malunggay (*Moringa*
311 *oleifera*) polvoron with sesame seeds. *Int J Sci Res Eng Dev* 2:660-674.
- 312 Domínguez R, Pateiro M, Gagaoua M, Barba FJ, Zhang W, Lorenzo JM. 2019. A
313 comprehensive review on lipid oxidation in meat and meat products. *Antioxidants*
314 8:429-460.
- 315 Elleuch M, Besbes S, Roiseux O, Blecker C, Attia H. 2007. Quality characteristics of sesame
316 seeds and by-products. *Food Chem* 103:641-650.

317 Gadekar YP, Thomas R, Anjaneyulu AS, Shinde AT, Pragati H. 2006. Spices and Their Role
318 in Meat Products: A Review. Beverage and Food World 33:57-60.

319 Hamm R. Biochemistry of meat hydration. 1961. In Advances in food research. Academic
320 Press 10:355-463.

321 Hou YC, Tsai SY, Liu IL, Yu CP, Chao PD. 2008. Metabolic transformation of sesamol and
322 ex vivo effect on 2, 2'-azo-bis (2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride-induced
323 hemolysis. J Agric Food Chem 56:9636-9640.

324 Jung YK, Jeon HJ, Jung S, Choe JH, Lee JH, Heo KN, Kang BS, Jo CR. 2011. Comparison
325 of quality traits of thigh meat from Korean native chickens and broilers. Food Sci
326 Anim Resour 31:684-692.

327 Kang ZL, Zhu DY, Li B, Ma HJ, Song ZJ. 2017. Effect of pre-emulsified sesame oil on
328 physical-chemical and rheological properties of pork batters. Food Sci Technol
329 37:620-626.

330 Kaur S, Kumar S, Bhat ZF, Kumar A. 2015. Effect of pomegranate seed powder, grape seed
331 extract and tomato powder on the quality characteristics of chicken nuggets. Nutr
332 Food Sci 45:583-594.

333 Korkeala H, Alanko T, Mäkelä P, Lindroth S. 1990. Lactic acid and pH as indicators of
334 spoilage for vacuum-packed cooked ring sausages. Int J Food Microbiol 10:245-253.

335 Kumar CM, Appu Rao AG, Singh SA. 2009. Effect of infrared heating on the formation of
336 sesamol and quality of defatted flours from *Sesamum indicum L.* J Food Sci 74:105-
337 111.

338 Kumar D, Tanwar VK. 2011. Effects of incorporation of ground mustard on quality attributes
339 of chicken nuggets. J Food Sci Technol 48:759-762.

340 Lawrie RA. 1998. Meat Science. 6th ed. pp 212-257. Woodhead Pub. Ltd, Cambridge, United
341 Kingdom.

342 Lee J, Lee Y, Choe E. 2008. Effects of sesamol, sesamin, and sesamolin extracted from
343 roasted sesame oil on the thermal oxidation of methyl linoleate. Food Sci Technol
344 41:1871-1875.

345 Lengkey HA, Lobo BR. 2016. Physico-chemical and microbiological characteristics, sensory
346 quality and acceptability of native chicken and rabbit sausage produced with corn oil,
347 margarine and beef fat. Mac Vet Rev 39:193-199.

348 Nag S, Sharma BD, Kumar S. 1998. Quality attributes and shelf life of chicken nuggets
349 extended with rice flour. Ind J Poult Sci 33:182-186.

350 Namiki M. 1995. The chemistry and physiological functions of sesame. Food Rev Int 11:281-
351 329.

352 Suckow KS, Denielski GM, Borges TD, Macedo RD. 2016. Effect of salt and fat reduction on
353 the physical chemical changes during the manufacture of probiotic dry fermented
354 sausage. In International Technical Symposium.

355 Tangkham W, LeMieux F. 2017. The Effects of Replacing Pork Fat with Cold-Pressed
356 Coconut Oil on the Properties of Fresh Sausage. J Food Res 6:83-91.

357 Teruel MR, Garrido MD, Espinosa MC, Linares MB. 2015. Effect of different format-solvent
358 rosemary extracts (*Rosmarinus officinalis*) on frozen chicken nuggets quality. Food
359 Chem 172:40-46.

360 Totosaus A. 2012. Packaging for frozen meat, seafood and poultry products. In Advances in
361 meat; poultry and seafood packaging. Kerry JP (ed). pp 363-376. Woodhead
362 Publishing, Sawston, United Kingdom.

363 Venugopal V. 2005. Seafood processing: adding value through quick freezing, retortable
364 packaging and cook-chilling. pp 9-10. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca
365 Raton, Florida, United States.

366 Warriss PD. 2001. Meat science; an introductory text. 2nd ed. pp 1-46. Cambridge University
367 Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

368 Xiong YL. 1997. Structure-function relationships of muscle proteins. In Food proteins and
369 their applications. 1st ed. pp. 341-392. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, United
370 States.

371 Yadav S, Malik A, Pathera A, Islam RU, Sharma D. 2016. Development of dietary fibre
372 enriched chicken sausages by incorporating corn bran, dried apple pomace and dried
373 tomato pomace. *Nutr Food Sci* 46:16-29.

374 Yasarlar EE, Daglioglu O, Yilmaz I. 2007. Effects of Cereal Bran Addition on Chemical
375 Composition, Cooking Characteristics and Sensory Properties of Turkish Meatballs.
376 *Asian J Chem* 19:2353-2361.

377 Zeb A, Ullah F. 2016. A simple spectrophotometric method for the determination of
378 thiobarbituric acid reactive substances in fried fast foods. *J Anal Methods Chem*
379 2016:1-5.

380 **Tables**

381 Table 1. Sensory evaluation of chicken nuggets incorporated with different levels of roasted
 382 sesame seeds¹⁾.

Parameter	Treatments ²⁾			
	Control	SN5	SN10	SN15
Appearance	5.88±0.046	6.63±0.056	6.63±0.064	6.38±0.049
Color	6.12±0.034	6.28±0.061	6.22±0.044	6.17±0.059
Aroma	6.33±0.077	6.12±0.104	6.24±0.031	5.83±0.078
Crispiness	5.38±0.136 ^a	6.13±0.118 ^b	6.19±0.064 ^{bc}	6.22±0.093 ^c
Juiciness	5.78±0.033 ^a	6.08±0.041 ^b	6.27±0.026 ^c	5.94±0.036 ^{ab}
Texture	6.59±0.040 ^d	6.13±0.057 ^b	6.38±0.069 ^c	5.63±0.322 ^a
Flavor	6.39±0.025 ^b	6.21±0.036 ^{ab}	6.53±0.054 ^c	5.50±0.027 ^a
Overall Acceptability	6.23±0.039 ^c	6.11±0.055 ^b	6.25±0.146 ^c	5.44±0.093 ^a

383 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

384 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 385 with 10% RSS (w/w); SN15, Nuggets with 15% RSS (w/w).

386 ^{a-d}Values in a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

387 Table 2. pH values of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of roasted sesame seeds
 388 and frozen storage period¹⁾.

Period	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
Day 0	6.73±0.015 ^{Ay}	6.68±0.010 ^{Axy}	6.67±0.306 ^{Ax}
Day 7	6.33±0.050 ^B	6.36±0.051 ^B	6.37±0.021 ^B
Day 14	6.34±0.030 ^B	6.35±0.006 ^B	6.34±0.026 ^B
Day 21	6.29±0.025 ^B	6.34±0.035 ^B	6.30±0.057 ^B
Day 28	6.26±0.017 ^B	6.29±0.032 ^B	6.26±0.053 ^B

389 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

390 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 391 with 10% RSS (w/w).

392 ^{A-B}Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

393 ^{x-y}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

394 Table 3. Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance values (MDA; mg/kg) of chicken nuggets as
 395 affected by different levels of roasted sesame seeds and frozen storage period¹⁾.

Period	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
Day 0	0.04±0.004 ^{Ax}	0.04±0.005 ^{Ax}	0.05±0.004 ^{Ay}
Day 7	0.09±0.012 ^{Bx}	0.11±0.018 ^{By}	0.13±0.011 ^{Bz}
Day 14	0.18±0.015 ^{Cx}	0.49±0.049 ^{Cy}	0.63±0.062 ^{Cz}
Day 21	0.45±0.054 ^{Dx}	0.65±0.062 ^{Dy}	0.83±0.077 ^{Dz}
Day 28	0.55±0.063 ^{Ex}	0.79±0.072 ^{Ey}	1.01±0.094 ^{Ez}

396 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

397 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 398 with 10% RSS (w/w).

399 ^{A-E}Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

400 ^{x-z}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

401 Table 4. Water holding capacity (%) of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of
 402 roasted sesame seeds and frozen storage period¹⁾.

Period	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
Day 0	97.58±0.318 ^{Dy}	95.38±0.215 ^{Bx}	97.22±0.456 ^{Cy}
Day 7	94.30±0.883 ^C	94.35±0.613 ^B	93.87±0.522 ^{BC}
Day 14	91.38±0.259 ^{Bx}	93.64±0.167 ^{By}	92.50±0.724 ^{By}
Day 21	91.10±0.816 ^{Bxy}	89.36±0.947 ^{Ax}	91.89±0.804 ^{By}
Day 28	82.77±1.395 ^A	87.27±1.921 ^A	84.16±1.589 ^A

403 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

404 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 405 with 10% RSS (w/w).

406 ^{A-D}Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

407 ^{x-y}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

408 Table 5. Cooking loss (%) of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of roasted
 409 sesame seeds and frozen storage period¹⁾.

Period	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
Day 0	1.38±0.085 ^{Ax}	1.42±0.032 ^{Ax}	2.00±0.036 ^{Ay}
Day 7	2.00±0.116 ^{Bx}	2.32±0.069 ^{By}	3.17±0.033 ^{Bz}
Day 14	2.47±0.249 ^{BCx}	2.97±0.050 ^{Cy}	3.45±0.119 ^{Cz}
Day 21	2.75±0.075 ^{Cx}	3.34±0.291 ^{Cy}	4.11±0.129 ^{Dz}
Day 28	4.22±0.297 ^D	3.96±0.155 ^D	4.24±0.116 ^D

410 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

411 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 412 with 10% RSS (w/w).

413 ^{A-D}Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

414 ^{x-z}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

415 Table 6. Colour values of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of roasted sesame
 416 seeds and frozen storage period¹⁾.

Period	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
CIE L*			
Day 0	50.56±0.235 ^{Ay}	49.02±0.520 ^{Ax}	50.29±0.838 ^{ABxy}
Day 7	52.23±0.555 ^B	52.30±0.776 ^B	52.27±0.594 ^B
Day 14	54.55±0.225 ^{Cz}	50.83±0.303 ^{ABy}	48.63±0.746 ^{Ax}
Day 21	53.46±0.600 ^{BCy}	53.22±0.483 ^{Cy}	50.24±0.656 ^{ABx}
Day 28	52.30±0.691 ^B	52.46±1.178 ^{BC}	51.06±0.392 ^{AB}
CIE a*			
Day 0	11.54±0.418 ^C	11.59±0.322 ^C	10.88±0.209 ^B
Day 7	10.27±0.211 ^B	10.24±0.225 ^{AB}	10.69±0.347 ^B
Day 14	9.95±0.166 ^{ABx}	10.55±0.0985 ^{By}	9.78±0.121 ^{Ax}
Day 21	9.73±0.102 ^{ABx}	9.71±0.085 ^{Ax}	10.33±0.135 ^{ABy}
Day 28	9.48±0.243 ^A	9.39±0.165 ^A	9.76±0.116 ^A
CIE b*			
Day 0	27.80±0.314 ^B	28.71±0.533	27.73±0.608 ^{AB}
Day 7	27.20±0.487 ^{AB}	27.70±0.681	28.20±0.830 ^{AB}
Day 14	28.80±0.049 ^C	28.33±0.929	27.93±0.153 ^{AB}
Day 21	26.43±0.380 ^{Ax}	27.91±0.066 ^y	28.51±0.387 ^{By}
Day 28	26.48±0.475 ^A	27.60±0.534	27.06±0.326 ^A

417 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

418 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 419 with 10% RSS (w/w).

420 ^{A-C}Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

421 ^{x-z}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

422 Table 7. Total plate count (log CFU/g) of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of
 423 roasted sesame seeds and frozen storage period¹⁾.

Period	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
Day 0	5.77±0.133 ^A	5.77±0.097 ^A	5.69±0.088 ^A
Day 7	5.99±0.056 ^B	5.92±0.042 ^A	6.02±0.036 ^B
Day 14	6.10±0.035 ^{BC}	6.11±0.043 ^B	6.12±0.055 ^B
Day 21	6.27±0.049 ^C	6.25±0.054 ^{BC}	6.31±0.065 ^C
Day 28	6.29±0.031 ^C	6.31±0.027 ^C	6.33±0.012 ^C

424 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

425 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 426 with 10% RSS (w/w).

427 ^{A-C}Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

428 ^{x-z}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

429 Table 8. Proximate composition of chicken nuggets as affected by different levels of roasted
 430 sesame seeds¹⁾.

Parameter (%)	Treatments ²⁾		
	Control	SN5	SN10
Moisture	50.12±0.032 ^z	47.17±0.299 ^y	42.78±0.423 ^x
Ash	2.72±0.045 ^x	2.86±0.061 ^y	3.15±0.091 ^z
Crude fat	6.75±0.077 ^x	8.02±0.111 ^y	8.84±0.130 ^z
Crude protein	12.01±0.167 ^x	13.25±0.206 ^y	14.24±0.276 ^z

431 ¹⁾Values represented as mean±SD of six replicates per treatment.

432 ²⁾Control, Nuggets with 0% RSS (w/w); SN5, Nuggets with 5% RSS (w/w); SN10, Nuggets
 433 with 10% RSS (w/w).

434 ^{x-z}Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).